

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/770,864	01/26/2001	Douglas M. Albert	IRV1.PAU.40	7129	
7:	590 04/10/2002				
Joseph C. Andras			EXAMINER		
	nur Boulevard, Suite 1150		TRINH, MINH N		
Irvine, CA 92612			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3729		
			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u>.</u>			97		
		Application N	o. •	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/770,864		ALBERT ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Minh Trinh		3729		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Faill - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, ho ly within the statutory r will apply and will expi e, cause the applicatio	wever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) day re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	March 2002 .				
2a)	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ TI	his action is non	-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
•	ion of Claims	_				
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.					
<b></b> :	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>36-45</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) 🗀						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachmer	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) [ 5) [ 6) [	Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 3729

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of process claims 1-35 in Paper No. 3 is acknowledged. Upon further review of elected claims 1-35, and in view of the disclosure's embodiments, the following <u>distinct species exist</u>; applicant is required to elect one of the following species.
- <u>Species</u>: 1A- drawn to a <u>first aspect</u> method of making a stackable microcircuit layer, readable on, page 5, line 17 to page 6 line 1, (claims 1-25).
  - 1B- drawn to a <u>second aspect</u> method of making a stackable microcircuit layer, readable on, page 6, lines 2-10, (claims 26-29).
  - 1C- drawn to a <u>third aspect</u> method of making a stackable microcircuit layer, readable on, page 6, line 11 to page 7, line 1, (claims 30-35).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 3729

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. If applicant elects the invention of Species 1A, further restriction to one of <u>each</u> following species:
- Species 2A, drawn to microcircuit is a pre-tested circuit, (claims 3-6).
  - 2B, drawn to microcircuit is a burned in circuit, (claim 4).
- Species 3A, drawn to conductive member is a gold ball bond, (claim 12).
  - 3B, drawn to conductive member is a wire, (claims 11, 13)
  - 3C, drawn to conductive member is wedge bond, (claim 14).
  - 3D, drawn to conductive member is a lead frame, (claim 15).

Art Unit: 3729

3E, drawn to conductive member is a conductive trace, (clms 16, 17).

Species 4A, drawn to PEM comprises a STOP, (claims 20, 21).

4B, drawn to PEM comprises a uBGA package (claims 22-25).

Applicants are also required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect <u>a single disclosed</u>

<u>species</u> for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 2, 7-10 and 18-19 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 3729

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. A telephone call was made to Joseph Andras on 4/09/2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-2887. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter VO can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7307 for regular communications and (703) 305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

mt April 9, 2002

> PETER VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700